



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,585	11/14/2003	Brian K. Hollowell	1033-MS1008	1674
60533 7590 07/21/2008 TOLER LAW GROUP 8500 BLUFFSTONE COVE SUITE A201 AUSTIN, TX 78759				
EXAMINER				
SING, SIMON P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2614				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/21/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/714,585

Applicant(s)

HOLLOWELL ET AL.

Examiner

SIMON SING

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-10, 21-27, 29, 30 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-10, 21-27, 29, 30 and 32-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tverskoy et al. US Patent No. 6,341,160 in view of Liljestrand et al. US Patent No. 6,853,714 and further in view of Friedman US Patent No. 5,826,026.

1.1 Regarding claim 1, Tverskoy teaches a messaging method (abstract) and a computer-readable medium having computer-readable data comprising:

receiving an indication of a call from a calling party to a called party, (col. 3, lines 13-20);

answering the call at a premises of the called party; prompting the calling party to leave a message (col. 3, lines 20-21);

saving at least a portion of the message as an audio file (col. 3, lines 23-29);

recognizing that the calling party left the message (col. 3, lines 56-62);

preparing an outgoing email message in response to recognizing that the calling party left the message (col. 4, line 62 to col. 5, line 13);

attaching the audio file to the outgoing email message (col. 5, lines 2-9);
addressing the outgoing email message to a network node associated with a unified messaging mailbox of the called party (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 13); and
initiating sending of the outgoing email message with the audio file attached from the premises to the network node (col. 5, lines 24-30).

Tverskoy teaches that information can be sent over a POTS line or an ISDN line. ISDN, as well known in the art, is a type of circuit switch telephone network system designed to allow digital transmission of voice and data over ordinary telephone wire. Hence, Tverskoy suggest of the ability to transmit voice over data lines. Tverskoy does not specifically teach wherein receiving an indication of a call via an Internet Protocol Network or answering the call in a Voice over Internet Protocol format. Tverskoy also does not teach including a calling party's email address in the outgoing email message.

However, Liljestrand teaches receiving a voicemail message for a recipient via VOIP, identifying the email address of a calling party, and generating an email message including the calling party's email address, and sending the email message to the recipient with the voicemail message as an attachment (figure 5; col. 4, lines 66-67; col. 5, lines 1-3; col. 15, lines 47-50; col. 17, lines 49-57). In addition, Friedman teaches a VOIP answering machine 702 (figure 8; col. 9, line 52 to column 10, line 7; column 1, lines 50-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tverskoy's reference with the teachings of Liljestrand and Friedman, by providing an answering machine with the ability to receive

Art Unit: 2614

incoming VOIP calls as taught by Friedman, and to include the calling party's email address in the outgoing email message as taught by Liljestrand, because such a modification would have enabled a caller from a VOIP phone (e.g. phone 150 of Liljestran) to leave a voice message for the called party, and to include the email address of the calling party in the outgoing email message would have provided an convenience to the called party for replying to the calling party by email.

1.2 Regarding claim 2, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 1, teaches disconnecting from the call (col. 3, lines 29-31);

prompting a modem to dial a telephone number associated withan Internet Service Provider (col. 4, lines 14-22; col. 8, lines 24-34);

recognizing that a connection exists with the Internet Service Provider, (col. 4, lines 14-22); and

outputting information representing the outgoing message for delivery via the connection (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 13).

1.3 Regarding claim 3, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 2, teaches outputting a username and password to the Internet Service Provider to gain access to an account of the called party (col. 4, lines 14-23).

1.4 Regarding claim 4, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 1, teaches maintaining a notification list including at least one calling party (col. 3, lines 34-46); and

receiving identification information associated with the call and identifying the calling party (col. 3, lines 32-39); and determining that the calling party is the at least one calling party (col. 3, lines 32-46).

1.5 Regarding claim 6, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 1, teaches wherein the outgoing message has a format of an electronic mail message format (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 13).

1.6 Regarding claim 7, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 1, teaches utilizing a modem device to send the outgoing message wherein the modem device is a cable modem, a dial-up modem (col. 8, lines 24-34).

1.7 Regarding claim 8, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 1, teaches determining that a data connection exists (col. 4, lines 24-32); and
utilizing the data connection to send the outgoing message (col. 5, lines 24-30).

1.8. Regarding claim 9, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 1, teaches wherein the message comprises a multi-modal message having an audio component and a non-audio component (col. 5, lines 2-24).

2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tverskoy et al. US Patent No. 6,341,160 in view of Liljestrand et al. US Patent No. 6,853,714 and further in view of Friedman US Patent No. 5,826,026 and further in view of Goldberg et al. US Patent No. 6,304,636.

The modified Tverskoy reference, teaches a VOIP answering device for attaching an incoming voice message to an email message, but fails to teach the voice message is converted to a text message.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Goldberg teaches receiving a voicemail message for a subscriber, converting the voicemail message to either a digital voice (e.g. WAV) or text, and attaching the converted voicemail message to an email message addressed to the subscriber (col. 2, lines 38-67; col. 3, lines 1-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Tverskoy's reference with the teaching of Goldberg, by providing a text message as the attachment for the audio file, because such a modification would have enabled the called party to read a voice message (audio file) in case the called party's computer did not have audio playback capability.

3. Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tverskoy et al. US Patent No. 6,341,160 in view of Liljestrand et al. US Patent No. 6,853,714.

3.1 Regarding Claim 21, Tverskoy teaches a method of facilitating unified messaging, (abstract), comprising:

communicatively coupling a messaging device to a premises network communicatively coupled to a wide-area communication network (Internet) (fig. 1);

communicatively coupling a telephone station at the premises to the messaging device (fig. 1);

communicatively coupling a computer to the messaging device (fig. 1); and

employing the messaging device to answer an incoming telephone call from a calling party (col. 3, lines 13-20), to play a pre-recorded message that prompts the calling party to leave a message (col. 3, lines 21-25), to record a voice message from the calling party (col. 3, lines 21-29), to compose an electronic mail message in response to the voice message (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 13), to attach an audio file representing the voice message to the electronic mail message, and to initiate sending of the electronic mail message via the wide-area communication network (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 13,24-30).

Tverskoy does not teach including a calling party's email address in the outgoing electronic mail message.

However, Liljestrand teaches receiving a voicemail message, identifying the email address of a calling party, generating an email message including the calling party's email address, and forwarding the email message to the recipient with the voicemail message as an attachment (figure 5; col. 4, lines 66-67; col. 5, lines 1-3; col. 15, lines 47-50; col. 17, lines 46-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tverskoy's reference with the teaching of Liljestrand, by including the calling party's email address in the outgoing electronic mail message, because enclosing the email address of the calling party would have provided an convenience to the called party for replying to the calling party by email.

3.2 Regarding claim 22, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 21, teaches executing code directing the computer to store a username and password in a memory associated with the messaging device, to indicate a messaging address for an intended recipient of the electronic mail message, and to indicate an identifier for a remote messaging server communicatively coupled to the wide-area network (col. 4, lines 14-23).

3.3 Regarding claim 23, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 21, teaches determining that a data connection exists interconnecting the premises network and a node of the wide-area network; and utilizing the data connection to send the electronic mail message (col. 4, lines 14-23).

3.4 Regarding claim 24, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 21, teaches disconnecting from the incoming telephone call (col. 3, lines 29-31);

prompting a modem to dial a telephone number associated with an Internet Service Provider (col. 4, lines 14-22; col. 8, lines 24-34);

Art Unit: 2614

recognizing that a connection exists with the Internet Service Provider (col. 4, lines 14-22); and

utilizing the connection to send the electronic mail message (col. 5, lines 24-30).

3.5 Regarding claim 25, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 21, teaches wherein the audio file is a WAV file (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 13).

3.6 Regarding claim 26, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 21, teaches addressing the electronic mail message to more than one intended recipient (col. 5, lines 24-30).

3.7 Regarding claim 27, Tverskoy, as applied to claim 21, teaches attaching a second file to the electronic mail message comprising non-audio information communicated by the calling party (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 13).

4. Claims 29, 30 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agraharam et al. US Patent No. 6,483,899 in view of Klein US Patent No. 6,621,800.

4.1 Regarding claims 29 and 32, Agraharam discloses a system for prompting a calling party to leave a voice message, to enter a non-audio data (email address) with the voice message, to record the voice message, to compose an email message, to

Art Unit: 2614

attach the audio portion of the voice message to the email message, and to send the email message to a recipient (figure 2; column 3, lines 15-24; column 4, lines 57-58; column 5, lines 15-23).

Agraharam teaches fails to teach receiving the voice message via a voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP).

However, in the same field of endeavor, Klein discloses a voice messaging system in figure 1, and teaches that a caller's telephone 104, called telephone 106, and message server 102 are connected using voice over Internet Protocol. The message server obviously has a VOIP engine for process a VOIP call (column 3, lines 44-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Agraharam's reference with the teaching of Klein to include VOIP capability, because such a modification would have enabled a caller from VOIP phone to leave a message for the called party.

4.2 Regarding claims 30 and 33, Agraharam teaches converting the voice message to a text message (column 4, lines 5-16, 67; column 5, lines 1-2, 11-14).

4.3 Regarding claim 34, a text string (email address) is a visual message.

4.4 Regarding claim 35, Agraharam teaches sending the email message to one or more recipients (column 7, lines 30-34).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 4-28-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claims 1 and 21: Applicant contends that in Liljestrand, the caller's email address is included in a voice message, not in an email message. Examiner respectively disagrees. Liljestrand teaches: "E-mail may also be forwarded or replied to in the usual method or with a voice attachment" in column 17, lines 46-48. Liljestrand also teaches: "voice mail message can be played back on a computer through an interface with the subscriber's (recipient of a voice message) email address. The subscriber (recipient) can also reply to the voice mail via e-mail if the caller's e-mail address is known, the caller's e-mail address can be ... included with voicemail mail message sent to the subscriber's e-mail address" in column 17, lines 51-57. Thus Liljestrand clearly teaches that the email address of a caller is included in an email message, not in a voice mail message, and an e-mail message includes both an e-mail address of a caller, and a voice mail message attachment.

Claims 29 and 32: Applicant contends that Agraharam fails to teach attach an audio file to an email. Examiner respectively disagrees since Agraharam clearly teaches this limitation in column 5, lines 15-23 as stated in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Simon Sing whose telephone number is 571-272-7545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached at 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

/Fan Tsang/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Simon Sing/

Application/Control Number: 10/714,585

Page 13

Art Unit: 2614

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

07/14/2008